UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

(Northern Division)

UMAR BURLEY, et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	Civil Case No. SAG-18-1743
V.	
BALTIMORE POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al.,	
Defendants.	
* * * * * * * *	
Whereas, the parties have stipulated that certain discovery material be treated as	
confidential;	
Accordingly, it is this day of, 2020, by the United	
States District Court for the District of Maryland, ORDERED:	
1. Designation of Discovery M	laterials as Confidential. All documents
produced in the course of discovery, all Answers to Interrogatories, all Answers to	
Requests for Admission, all Responses to Requests for Production of Documents, and	
all deposition testimony and deposition exhibits shall be subject to this Order concerning	
confidential information, as set forth below:	
(a) The designation of confidenti	al information shall be made by placing or

affixing on the document, in a manner which will not interfere with its legibility, the

word "CONFIDENTIAL." One who provides material may designate it as

"CONFIDENTIAL" only when such person in good faith believes it contains

sensitive personal information, trade secrets or other confidential research,

development, or commercial information which is in fact confidential. A party shall not routinely designate material as "CONFIDENTIAL," or make such a designation without reasonable inquiry to determine whether it qualifies for such designation. Except for documents produced for inspection at the party's facilities, the designation of confidential information shall be made prior to, or contemporaneously with, the production or disclosure of that information. In the event that documents are produced for inspection at the party's facilities, such documents may be produced for inspection before being marked confidential. Once specific documents have been designated for copying, any documents containing confidential information will then be marked confidential after copying but before delivery to the party who inspected and designated the documents. There will be no waiver of confidentiality by the inspection of confidential documents before they are copied and marked confidential pursuant to this procedure.

- (b) Portions of depositions of a party's present and former officers, directors, employees, agents, experts, and representatives shall be deemed confidential only if they are designated as such when the deposition is taken or within seven business days after receipt of the transcript. Any testimony which describes a document which has been designated as "CONFIDENTIAL," as described above, shall also be deemed to be designated as "CONFIDENTIAL."
- (c) Information or documents designated as confidential under this Order shall not be used or disclosed by the parties or counsel for the parties or any persons identified in subparagraph (d) below for any purposes whatsoever other

than preparing for and conducting the litigation in which the information or documents were disclosed (including appeals). The parties shall not disclose information or documents designated as confidential to putative class members not named as plaintiffs in putative class litigation unless and until one or more classes has/have been certified.

- (d) The parties and counsel for the parties shall not disclose or permit the disclosure of any documents or information designated as confidential under this Order to any other person or entity, except that disclosures may be made in the following circumstances:
 - (i) Disclosure may be made to counsel and employees of counsel for the parties who have direct functional responsibility for the preparation and trial of the lawsuit. Any such employee to whom counsel for the parties makes a disclosure shall be provided with a copy of, and become subject to, the provisions of this Order requiring that the documents and information be held in confidence.
 - (ii) Disclosure may be made only to employees of a party required in good faith to provide assistance in the conduct of the litigation in which the information was disclosed.
 - (iii) Disclosure may be made to court reporters engaged for depositions and those persons, if any, specifically engaged for the limited purpose of making photocopies of documents. Prior to disclosure to any such court reporter or person engaged in making photocopies of documents, such person must agree to be bound by the terms of this Order.

- (iv) Disclosure may be made to consultants, investigators, or experts (hereinafter referred to collectively as "experts") employed by the parties or counsel for the parties to assist in the preparation and trial of the lawsuit. Prior to disclosure to any expert, the expert must be informed of and agree in writing to be subject to the provisions of this Order requiring that the documents and information be held in confidence.
- (e) Except as provided in subparagraph (d) above, counsel for the parties shall keep all documents designated as confidential which are received under this Order secure within their exclusive possession and shall take reasonable efforts to place such documents in a secure area.
- (f) All copies, duplicates, extracts, summaries, or descriptions (hereinafter referred to collectively as "copies") of documents or information designated as confidential under this Order or any portion thereof shall be immediately affixed with the word "CONFIDENTIAL" if that word does not already appear.
- 2. Confidential Information Filed with Court. To the extent that any materials subject to this Confidentiality Order (or any pleading, motion, or memorandum disclosing them) are proposed to be filed or are filed with the Court, those materials and papers, or any portion thereof which discloses confidential information, shall be filed under seal (by the filing party) with the Clerk of the Court with a simultaneous motion pursuant to L.R. 104.13(c) (hereinafter the "Interim Sealing Motion"), in accordance with the current version of the Court's Electronic Filing Requirements and Procedures for Civil Cases. The Interim Sealing Motion shall be governed by L.R. 105.11. Even if the filing party believes that the materials subject to the Confidentiality Order are not

properly classified as confidential, the filing party shall file the Interim Sealing Motion;

provided, however, that the filing of the Interim Sealing Motion shall be wholly without

prejudice to the filing party's rights under paragraph (4) of this Confidentiality Order.

3. Party Seeking Greater Protection Must Obtain Further Order. No

information may be withheld from discovery on the ground that the material to be

disclosed requires protection greater than that afforded by paragraph (1) of this Order

unless the party claiming a need for greater protection moves for an order providing

such special protection pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c).

4. Challenging Designation of Confidentiality. A designation of confidentiality

may be challenged upon motion. The burden of proving the confidentiality of

designated information remains with the party asserting such confidentiality.

provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(5) apply to such motions.

5. Return of Confidential Material at Conclusion of Litigation. At the

conclusion of the litigation, all material treated as confidential under this Order and not

received in evidence shall be returned to the originating party. If the parties so stipulate,

the material may be destroyed instead of being returned. The Clerk of the Court may

return to counsel for the parties, or destroy, any sealed material at the end of the

litigation, including any appeals.

Dated: March 11, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Steven D. Silverman, Esq. (Bar No. 22887)

ssilverman@mdattorney.com

Andrew C. White, Esq. (Bar No. 08821)

awhite@mdattorney.com

/s/

Kara K. Lynch (Bar No. 29351) kara.lynch@baltimorepolice.org

Justin S. Conroy (Bar No. 28480)

justin.conroy@baltimorepolice.org

William N. Sinclair, Esq. (Bar No. 28833)

bsinclair@mdattorney.com

Erin Murphy, Esq. (Bar No. 24980)

emurphy@mdattorney.com

SILVERMAN|THOMPSON|SLUTKIN|WHITE, LLC

201 N. Charles Street, Suite 2600

Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Tel: (410) 385-2225 Fax: (410) 547-2432

Andrew D. Freeman (Bar No. 03867)

adf@browngold.com

Chelsea J. Crawford (Bar No. 19155)

ccrawford@browngold.com

Neel K. Lalchandani (Bar No. 20291)

nlalchandani@browngold.com

Brown, Goldstein & Levy, LLP

120 E. Baltimore Street, Suite 1700

Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Tel: (410) 962-1030

Fax: (410) 385-0869

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Umar Hassan Burley and Brent Andre Matthews

Christopher R. Lundy (Bar No. 29888) christopher.lundy@baltimorecity.gov

Natalie R. Amato (Bar No. 20749)

natalie.amato@baltimorecity.gov

Baltimore City Department of Law

Office of Legal Affairs

City Hall, Room 101

100 N. Holliday Street

Baltimore, MD 21202

Tel: (410) 396-2496

Fax: (410) 396-2126

Attorneys for Baltimore Police Department and Dean Palmere

/s/

Neil E. Duke (Bar No. 14073)

Baker Donelson

A Professional Corporation

100 Light Street

Baltimore, MD 21202

nduke@bakerdonelson.com

Tel: (410) 862-1198

Fax: (410) 263-7598

Attorneys for Wayne Jenkins

James H. Fields (Bar No. 09661)

Fields Peterson, LLC

Harborplace Tower, Suite 1400

111 S. Calvert St.

Baltimore, MD 21202

ifields@fieldspeterson.com

Tel: (410) 783-6347

Fax: (410) 783-6410

Attorneys for Ryan Guinn, Keith Gladstone, Richard Willard, William Knoerlein and

Michael Fries

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE